



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS  
Washington, D.C. 20231  
www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|-----------------|-------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 09/420,046      | 10/18/1999  | HENRY C. LIN, M. D.  |                     | 2270             |

7590 12/03/2001

EDWARD G. POPLAWSKI, ESQ.  
SIDLEY AUSTIN BROWN & WOOD  
555 WEST FIFTH STREET  
LOS ANGLES, CA 90013-1010

EXAMINER

TRAN, SUSAN T

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

1615

DATE MAILED: 12/03/2001

14

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

|                          |                                      |                               |
|--------------------------|--------------------------------------|-------------------------------|
| <b>Interview Summary</b> | Application No.<br><b>09/420,046</b> | Applicant(s)<br><b>Lin</b>    |
|                          | Examiner<br><b>Susan Tran</b>        | Group Art Unit<br><b>1615</b> |

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Susan Tran

(3) \_\_\_\_\_

(2) Nisan Steinberg

(4) \_\_\_\_\_

Date of Interview Nov 29, 2001

Type: a) Telephonic      b) Video Conference  
 c) Personal [copy is given to 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes      e) No. If yes, brief description:

Claim(s) discussed: of record

Identification of prior art discussed:

Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments:

Applicant's attorney disagreed with the reason stated in the thirty days non-responsive letter dated 08/27/01. The examiner suggested applicant's attorney to respond in writing regarding to the request for reconsider, or refile the application.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

i) It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview (if box is checked).

Unless the paragraph above has been checked, THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached

*THURMAN K PAGE*  
 SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER  
 TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1600

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.